

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

I find it disturbing that Sinclair can make a decision of what is important to know about our candidates and there is no rebuttal system in play when it is so one-sided. To say this is a documentary and not an ad to discredit one candidate over another is fraud against those who live in the Sinclair monopoly areas.

Sinclair pays no money for use of these airwaves, but does benefit financially from their use. Who is watching out for the benefit of the public -- that would be the FCC. It's time for you to do just that.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.